



PERGAMON

Vision Research 39 (1999) 4419

**VISION  
Research**
[www.elsevier.com/locate/visres](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/visres)

## Erratum

# “Motion detection on flashed, stationary pedestal gratings: evidence for an opponent-motion mechanism” [Vision Research, 38 (1998) 795–812]<sup>☆</sup>

L. Zemaný<sup>a</sup>, C.F. Stromeyer III<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, A. Chaparro<sup>a,b,c</sup>, R.E. Kronauer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>b</sup> Department of Psychology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

<sup>c</sup> Department of Psychology, Wichita State University, Wichita, KS 67260, USA

The publisher regrets that Fig. 3 of this article was originally incorrectly set by the printer (in the final proof stage) to show the 7.43 Hz data twice, and to exclude the 15 Hz data. The correct Fig. 3, which was originally supplied to the printer, is shown correctly here.




Fig. 3. Contrast thresholds for direction discrimination as a function of pedestal contrast (measured as in Fig. 1) at 7.43 and 15 Hz. The moving test was presented in 0 or 180° spatial phase with the pedestal (specified at middle of motion). The dashed line in each panel shows the mean threshold of the observers measured without the pedestal. Pedestals of 2–3% contrast produce the greatest facilitation with the 0° pedestal. At higher pedestal contrast, the direction threshold rises approximately following Weber's law.

<sup>☆</sup> PII of original article S0042-6989(97)00225-3.

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +1-617-495-9837.

E-mail address: [charles@stokes.harvard.edu](mailto:charles@stokes.harvard.edu) (C.F. Stromeyer)